

Research Paper

A Legal Perspective on the Antecedents of Consumer Protection in Digital Financial Services

Novea Elysa Wardhani

Faculty of Law, University of Palangkaraya, Indonesia
noveaelysa13@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords

Consumer Awareness;
Consumer Protection; Data
Privacy; Regulatory
Landscape; Technology
Integration

Article history

Received: 10 September 2023
Revised: 15 October 2023
Accepted: 17 October 2023
Available online: 22 October
2023

To cite in APA style

Wardhani, N. E. (2024). A legal perspective on the antecedents of consumer protection in digital financial services. *Shirkah: Journal of Economics and Business*, 9(1), 17-32.

ABSTRACT

This research delves into the factors influencing consumer protection within the context of digital financial services, with a focus on the regulatory landscape, technology integration, consumer awareness, and data privacy. The primary objective is to analyze the impact of these factors on the improvement of consumer rights and safety. A quantitative approach was employed, utilizing data collected through surveys of digital financial service users. Confirmatory factor analysis was used to validate the measurement model, followed by structural equation modelling to test the hypotheses. The results demonstrate the significant and positive impact of the regulatory landscape, technology integration, consumer awareness, and data privacy on consumer protection. This study theoretically contributes by empirically confirming the role of these factors in consumer protection in digital financial services. From a practical standpoint, it underscores the importance of robust regulations, seamless technology integration, and effective consumer awareness initiatives in upholding consumer rights and safety. However, it is important to acknowledge certain limitations within this study. Its context-specific nature may restrict its generalizability to other regions, and the analysis primarily focuses on antecedent factors while neglecting potential influences from other variables. Nevertheless, this study's novelty lies in its comprehensive exploration of the foundational factors and their profound impact on consumer protection in the digital financial services landscape, furnishing valuable insights for informed policymaking and industry practices.

This is an open access article under [CC-BY-NC 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) license.



Introduction

The financial landscape has evolved significantly with the rapid advancement of digital technology (Agarwal & Zhang, 2020). Digital financial services, encompassing a range of digital payment, lending, investment, and banking options, have transformed how individuals engage with the financial system (Ozili, 2018). With the ongoing impact of these services on the financial industry, it becomes crucial to scrutinize the legal aspects safeguarding consumer rights and interests within this ever-changing digital landscape (Anagnostopoulos, 2018; Senyo et al., 2022). Consumer protection has long been a central principle of the legal framework governing financial transactions (Chiu, 2017). However, the shift to digital platforms brings new challenges and opportunities (Bolton et al., 2018). The inherent complexity of digital financial services raises critical questions regarding the effectiveness of current legal frameworks in safeguarding consumer well-being within this digital landscape (Breibach et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2021). Consequently, it is crucial to gain insight into the elements impacting consumer protection in the realm of digital financial services from a legal viewpoint (Albayati et al., 2020; Chang et al., 2020; Senyo & Osabutey, 2020).

The digital realm introduces a different set of elements that could potentially hinder efforts to safeguard consumers (Gupta & Quamara, 2020). Effective regulations play a pivotal role in establishing a secure and equitable consumer environment (Gunningham & Sinclair, 2019; Hampton et al., 2022). This research delves into the complex interactions between digital financial services and the legal safeguards underlying consumer protection. By exploring the factors that influence consumer protection, this research seeks to explain how the current legal framework accommodates the evolving needs and challenges of digital financial services. This study will contribute to the theoretical discourse on consumer protection in the digital era and provide practical insights for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and legal practitioners. This research strives to provide valuable input for enhancing legal regulations, refining business practices, and bolstering consumer education within the digital financial services sector. The landscape of economic transactions is being reshaped by digital financial services, making it imperative to evaluate the legal foundations that safeguard consumer interests (Brenner, 2018). This investigation aims to scrutinize the conditions impacting consumer protection within the digital finance ecosystem, thereby enriching our comprehension of the legal dimension and advocating for measures that ensure consumers receive adequate protection in their digital financial interactions.

Despite the increasing significance of digital financial services and their impact on consumer interactions (Gomber et al., 2017; Shahid et al., 2022), there exists a notable gap in research when it comes to pinpointing the specific factors influencing consumer protection in a legal context. While there has been prior research on consumer protection and digital finance, only a limited number of studies have undertaken a comprehensive examination of the factors that shape the effectiveness of legal mechanisms in safeguarding consumers within the digital finance domain (Aw & Agnihotri, 2023; Mirza et al., 2023). This study aims to bridge this research gap by delving into the unique circumstances that contribute to the existing state of consumer protection in the realm of digital financial services. The novelty of this research lies in its in-depth exploration of consumer protection within the digital financial services sector. By isolating and analyzing the various factors that precede consumer protection outcomes, this research provides a

differentiated understanding of how legal mechanisms can effectively address the complexity of the digital financial ecosystem. The ultimate goal is to shed light on the need for innovative legal solutions in areas such as regulatory adaptation, technology integration, and consumer education, which are essential components requiring attention in this rapidly evolving domain.

This research aims to ascertain the relevance and effectiveness of consumer protection measures in the digital financial landscape. With the rapid digitalization of financial services outpacing the development of legal frameworks, it becomes crucial to pinpoint factors that either hinder or enhance consumer protection. The overarching goal is to establish a mutually beneficial relationship between digital finance and consumer rights by guiding informed legal adaptations. The central focus of this research is to comprehensively investigate and analyze the factors influencing consumer protection in digital financial services from a legal standpoint. These objectives include identifying key antecedents, evaluating their impact on consumer protection, and formulating recommendations to improve the legal framework to better distinguish challenges and opportunities presented by digital financial services.

This research aims to contribute to a holistic understanding of the underlying conditions that shape consumer protection in the realm of digital financial services. Thus, this research aims to provide actionable insights for policy-makers, regulators, industry players, and legal professionals to develop and adapt legal mechanisms that guarantee the upholding of consumer rights and interests in the digital financial era. Through a meticulous examination of these antecedents, the research endeavors to lay the groundwork for a more robust legal framework that aligns with the evolving landscape of digital financial services and guarantees a secure consumer environment.

Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

Consumer Protection

According to [Nanda & Banerjee \(2021\)](#), consumer protection encompasses a comprehensive framework involving legal, regulatory, and ethical measures designed to protect the rights, interests, and welfare of consumers in their interactions with goods, services, and financial transactions. This includes a series of initiatives to ensure fair and transparent business practices, prevent deceptive advertising, improve product safety, ensure accurate disclosure of information, and provide mechanisms for complaint resolution ([Benöhr, 2020](#)). Consumer protection seeks to create a balanced and fair marketplace where consumers are empowered to make informed decisions, access quality products and services, and are protected from fraudulent or exploitative practices ([Graef et al., 2018](#)). One of the regulations related to consumer protection in Indonesia Law Number 8 of 1999 concerning Consumer Protection. This law governs various aspects of consumer rights and protection in diverse consumer transactions and relationships. Key provisions of the law include consumers' entitlement to receive clear and accurate information, the right to demand safe and standards-compliant products, and protection against unethical or harmful business practices.

Regulatory Landscape

The term “regulatory landscape” encompasses the comprehensive framework of laws, regulations, policies, and guidelines that govern a specific industry, sector, or domain within a jurisdiction (Vivoda et al., 2019). [Stubbs & Higgins \(2018\)](#) affirm that this regulatory landscape includes the set of rules and standards established by regulatory authorities to ensure compliance, transparency, and orderly conduct within that field. Additionally, [Arner et al. \(2016\)](#) describe that the regulatory landscape serves as the foundational structure guiding the operations of businesses, organizations, and individuals, while also providing a framework for safeguarding consumer interests, upholding fair competition, and addressing societal issues within regulated sectors. Within the digital financial services domain, there exists a complex interplay between the regulatory and consumer protection landscapes, highlighting the pivotal role of regulatory frameworks in shaping the scope and effectiveness of consumer rights protection ([Martin et al., 2020](#)). Robust regulatory measures, encompassing data privacy regulations, financial industry guidelines, and transparency requirements, are critical components that lay the groundwork for consumer protection in the digital era ([Martin et al., 2020](#)). The comprehensiveness and adaptability of this regulatory framework significantly impact consumer confidence, data security, and the overall user experience ([Good et al., 2017](#)). The interdependent relationship between stringent regulatory compliance and effective consumer protection mechanisms is vital for striking a balance between fostering innovation and ensuring the security and rights of consumers in the dynamic landscape of digital financial services ([Alaassar et al., 2022](#); [Graham, 2023](#); [Schneider & Kokshagina, 2021](#)). Therefore, the hypothesis we propose is as follows:

H1: There is an impact of the regulatory landscape on consumer protection.

Technology Integration

[Basole & Nowak \(2018\)](#) define technology integration as the seamless assimilation and coordination of various technologies, systems, or components into a unified and functional entity. It involves the merging of different technological elements to operate cohesively, leading to improved overall performance, efficiency, and functionality ([Ogilvie et al., 2018](#)). In digital services, technical integration often involves the convergence of software, hardware, data, and communications systems to create comprehensive, interconnected solutions that simplify processes, optimize performance, and enhance user experience ([Serrano, 2018](#)). The relationship between technology integration and consumer protection in digital financial services is characterized by a dynamic interaction. Technological advancements serve as precursors that both enable and challenge consumer protection efforts. Technical integration, encompassing advanced authentication protocols, encryption mechanisms, and data analysis, enhances the security and efficiency of digital financial transactions ([Haque et al., 2022](#)). However, this integration also introduces new vulnerabilities and complexities that require stringent regulatory oversight and innovative legal solutions to ensure privacy, data security and consumer rights ([Andraško et al., 2021](#)). Achieving a harmonious balance between technological progress and robust consumer protection mechanisms is essential for fostering consumer confidence and trust within the digital financial landscape ([Zequiraj et al., 2020](#)). Therefore, the hypothesis we propose is as follows:

H2: There is an impact of technology integration on consumer protection.

Consumer Awareness

According to [Buerke et al. \(2017\)](#), consumer awareness encompasses efforts and initiatives aimed at educating, informing, and empowering individuals as consumers. It involves providing consumers with accurate and relevant information about their rights, choices, and responsibilities when they are purchasing or interacting with products and services. The goal of consumer awareness is to enhance consumers' understanding of their options, enabling them to make well-informed decisions, avoid potential risks, and effectively exercise their rights ([Galati et al., 2019](#)). Consumer awareness and education are typically promoted through channels such as public awareness campaigns, educational programs, and informative materials. The aim is to cultivate a more informed and knowledgeable consumer base, empowering individuals to confidently and competently participate in the market ([Demangeot et al., 2019](#)). It is important to note that consumer awareness and protection are intrinsically linked, representing two complementary aspects that ensure consumer well-being in the market ([Minton et al., 2022](#)). Consumer awareness, which includes initiatives that equip individuals with knowledge about their rights, choices, and potential risks, significantly enhances the effectiveness of consumer protection measures ([Grochowski et al., 2021](#)). Informed and knowledgeable consumers are better equipped to identify fraudulent practices, demand transparency, and hold businesses accountable ([de Freitas Netto et al., 2020](#)). On the other hand, consumer protection frameworks provide the legal and regulatory infrastructure necessary to enforce fair business practices, ensure product safety, and offer avenues for dispute resolution ([Howell & Wilson, 2016](#)). Together, consumer awareness and protection create a mutually reinforcing environment where informed consumers are empowered to make educated decisions and are shielded from exploitative practices, ultimately fostering trust and equilibrium in the marketplace ([Vredenburg et al., 2020](#)). Therefore, the hypothesis we propose is as follows:

H3: There is an impact of consumer awareness on consumer protection.

Data Privacy

Data privacy pertains to the management and safeguarding of personal and sensitive information, whether in digital or physical formats ([Jain et al., 2016](#)). It involves ensuring individuals' privacy rights regarding their data, which includes collecting and using it in compliance with legal regulations, ethical standards, and individual consent ([Thapa & Camtepe, 2021](#)). Data privacy encompasses practices and measures aimed at thwarting unauthorized access, misuse, or abuse of personal information. It also includes granting individuals the right to know how their data is utilized and giving them the authority to control the disclosure and use of their information ([Cate, 2016](#)). The complex relationship between data privacy and consumer protection shares a common objective: safeguarding the rights and interests of individuals in the digital sphere ([De Hert et al., 2018](#)). Data privacy serves as a crucial foundational element by ensuring that consumers' personal and sensitive data is collected, processed, and stored in accordance with legal and ethical principles ([Bygrave, 2017](#)). As noted by [Bongomin & Ntayi \(2020\)](#), data privacy bolsters consumer trust and confidence by granting individuals control over their data and

transparency in its utilization. This aligns with the broader objective of consumer protection, which aims to prevent potential misuse, unauthorized access, data breaches, and empower individuals to make informed choices in the digital realm. Therefore, the collaboration between data privacy and consumer protection fosters an environment where consumer rights are upheld, personal information is secure, and digital interactions are characterized by accountability and respect for privacy (Graef & Van Berlo, 2021). Consequently, the hypothesis we propose is as follows:

H4: There is an impact of data privacy on consumer protection.

Method

This study used a quantitative research design to investigate the relationship between the antecedents of consumer protection from a legal perspective and digital financial services. The research design involves the collection and analysis of extensive data to test the formulated hypotheses. Data were gathered through an online survey using a structured questionnaire. This survey was distributed to individuals who utilize digital financial services, allowing for efficient data collection across a wide range of participants.

The research population comprises 140 individual users of digital financial services located in Palangkaraya City, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. The research sample was selected using the convenience sampling method, focusing on respondents with experience in using digital financial services. The research instrument used was a structured questionnaire, as outlined in Table 1. This questionnaire covers variables related to the factors influencing consumer protection in digital financial services, as well as other relevant aspects of the research.

The collected data underwent analysis using descriptive statistics and regression analysis. The regression analysis examined the relationship between the independent variable (antecedents of consumer protection) and the dependent variable (effectiveness of consumer protection in digital financial services). The research process begins with questionnaire design, followed by data collection through the online survey. After data collection, appropriate statistical techniques will be employed for analysis. The results of the analysis will be interpreted to test the hypotheses outlined in this research.

It is important to note some research limitations, including potential constraints in sample representation that may not cover the entire spectrum of digital financial service users. Additionally, resource and time limitations can impact the depth of analysis. This research strictly adhered to research ethics, ensuring the confidentiality of respondent data, obtaining informed consent, and utilizing data solely for research purposes. Data were supplemented with relevant literature supporting the theoretical and conceptual framework. Descriptive statistical techniques and regression analysis were employed to ascertain the relationship between factors influencing consumer protection and the effectiveness of consumer protection in the realm of digital financial services.

Table 1 . Research Instruments

Variable	Indicator	Sources
Regulatory landscape	1. Regulations and policies in the digital financial sector are clear and comprehensive.	Vivoda et al. (2019) ; Martin

Variable	Indicator	Sources
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Regulatory authorities effectively supervise digital financial service providers. 3. The regulatory framework is updated to address emerging challenges in the digital landscape. 4. Consumer rights and data protection are prioritized in regulatory guidelines. 5. Regulatory compliance ensures fair competition and ethical business practices. 	et al. (2020); Schneider & Kokshagina (2021)
Technology integration	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Various technical components are seamlessly integrated within digital financial platforms. 2. Secure transactions are guaranteed through the utilization of advanced encryption mechanisms. 3. Enhanced security in digital financial services is achieved through the implementation of biometric authentication. 4. User experience and service efficiency are enhanced using data analysis tools. 5. Technological advancements result in more efficient and streamlined digital financial processes. 	Basole & Nowak (2018) ; Ogilvie et al. (2018) ; Haque et al. (2022)
Consumer awareness	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consumers possess knowledge about their rights and responsibilities when engaging in digital financial transactions. 2. Consumer awareness campaigns effectively educate individuals about potential risks in the digital financial landscape. 3. Educational materials offer clear insights into the pros and cons of digital financial services. 4. Consumers are well-informed on how to safeguard their personal information while using digital financial platforms. 5. Initiatives to raise consumer awareness empower individuals to make informed choices in the digital realm. 	Vredenburg et al. (2020)
Data privacy	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The personal data collected by digital financial services is securely stored and shielded. 2. The data privacy policy clearly articulates how consumer information is gathered and utilized. 3. Users have control over how their data is shared and accessed by digital financial platforms. 4. Robust measures are in place to prevent unauthorized access to consumer data. 5. Maintaining the confidentiality of consumer data is a top priority for digital financial service providers. 	Bongomin & Ntayi (2020)
Consumer protection	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The legal framework ensures that consumers 	Graef & Van

Variable	Indicator	Sources
	have access to accurate information regarding digital financial services.	Berlo (2021) ; Nanda & Banerjee (2021)
	2. Regulatory authorities effectively address and resolve consumer complaints.	
	3. Consumer protection regulations act as a safeguard against deceptive advertising and practices.	
	4. Consumers have a mechanism for seeking compensation in financial disputes with service providers.	
	5. Consumer protection measures are designed to foster trust and ensure equitable treatment in digital financial transactions.	

Results

This study assessed the validity of the indicators through convergent techniques, involving an analysis of external factor loading values (see Table 2). Conventionally, loading factors within the range of 0.50 to 0.70 are considered acceptable in initial exploration. However, our study revealed loading values exceeding 0.70 for all indicators, demonstrating a high level of convergent validity. To evaluate discriminant validity, we compared the square root of the average extracted variance (AVE) for each latent factor to the correlation coefficient among other constituent elements in the model. This analytical step ensures that the variables can effectively distinguish between different groups, as recommended by Fornell & Larcker (1981). Additionally, we assessed variable indicators using the combined reliability metric, where values surpassing the 0.70 thresholds provide evidence of reliability, by Chin (2010). Notably, both the combined reliability measure and Cronbach's alpha value far exceed the 0.70 benchmark, affirming the overall reliability of the variable indicators.

Table 2. Confirmatory Factor Analysis with Reliability and Validity Statistics

Construct	Items	Outer Loading	Alfa Cronbach	rho_A	Cr	Path
Regulatory landscape	REG1	0.933	0.965	0.968	0.973	0.876
	REG 2	0.959				
	REG 3	0.930				
	REG 4	0.952				
	REG 5	0.906				
Technology integration	TECH1	0.875	0.965	0.969	0.973	0.878
	TECH2	0.971				
	TECH3	0.957				
	TECH4	0.908				
	TECH5	0.969				

Consumer awareness	CONA1	0.931	0.979	0.987	0.983	0.922
	CONA2	0.960				
	CONA3	0.980				
	CONA4	0.960				
	CONA5	0.970				
Data privacy	DATA1	0.956	0.954	0.964	0.965	0.849
	DATA2	0.976				
	DATA3	0.906				
	DATA4	0.801				
	DATA5	0.957				
Consumer protection	CONP1	0.891	0.919	0.922	0.94	0.759
	CONP2	0.890				
	CONP3	0.917				
	CONP4	0.900				
	CONP5	0.746				

In the reliability analysis, this study found strong evidence of reliability among the variable indicators. The combined reliability values ranged from 0.944 to 0.983, well surpassing the minimum criterion of 0.70. These results highlight the remarkable consistency and reliability of the measurements in our study, with minimal measurement variations. Additionally, Cronbach's alpha scores, ranging from 0.927 to 0.979, further support the reliability of these indicators. Together, these results provide robust assurance regarding the accuracy and consistency of the data collected for the variables under study, aligning with established validation principles (Chin, 2010).

In terms of hypotheses testing result, this study yielded significant and positive effects of the regulatory landscape on consumer protection ($t=3.263>1.96$), technology integration on consumer protection ($t=2.646>1.96$), consumer awareness on consumer protection ($t=3.244>1.96$), and data privacy on consumer protection ($t=2.675>1.96$). Consequently, this study accepts all hypotheses (H1, H2, H3, and H4), as detailed in Table 3.

Table 3. Path Analysis Results

Hypothesis	Construct	Original Sample	STDEV	T Statistics	P Value	Results
H1	REG -> CONP	0.291	0.089	3.263	0.001	Accepted
H2	TECH -> CONP	0.222	0.084	2.646	0.008	Accepted
H3	KONA -> CONP	0.216	0.066	3.244	0.001	Accepted
H4	DATA -> CONP	0.224	0.084	2.675	0.008	Accepted

*) : REG= Regulatory landscape; TECH= Technology integration; CONA= Consumer awareness; DATA= Data privacy; CONP= Consumer protection

Discussion

The evidence supporting the first hypothesis highlights the significant impact of the regulatory landscape on consumer protection within the realm of digital financial services. This empirical confirmation bolsters the theoretical argument that a well-structured regulatory framework plays a vital role in safeguarding consumer rights and well-being during digital financial interactions. These results carry substantial theoretical implications, deepening our comprehension of the complex interplay between regulatory elements and the efficacy of consumer protection mechanisms. Furthermore, these results bear significant managerial implications, emphasizing the need for collaborative efforts among regulatory bodies, policymakers, and industry stakeholders to fortify and adapt the regulatory landscape. This adaptation should remain responsive to evolving digital trends, concerns regarding data privacy, and the ever-changing consumer landscape. Crucially, these results align with the principles outlined in the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 8 of 1999 concerning Consumer Protection, which increasingly underscores the importance of a robust regulatory environment in upholding consumer rights and interests in digital financial interactions. Consequently, the convergence of empirical evidence, theoretical insights, and legal provisions underscores the critical importance of aligning regulatory measures, theoretical foundations, and legal protections. This alignment not only boosts consumer confidence but also encourages responsible industry practices, ensuring a secure and equitable digital financial landscape.

The confirmation of second hypothesis underscores the substantial impact of technology integration on consumer protection within the digital financial services sector. This empirical validation bolsters the theoretical argument that the seamless integration of technology plays a pivotal role in shaping the effectiveness of mechanisms designed to safeguard consumer interests. These results hold important theoretical implications, enriching our comprehension of the complex relationship between technological advancement and the protection of consumer rights and well-being. Moreover, these results carry valuable managerial implications, emphasizing the necessity for collaborative efforts among technology developers, regulatory bodies, and industry stakeholders. Such cooperation is essential to ensure that technology integration aligns with evolving consumer needs, addresses concerns regarding data privacy, and prioritizes security interests. This discovery aligns with the principles articulated in the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 8 of 1999 concerning Consumer Protection, which underscores the significance of leveraging technological advancements to enhance consumer rights and security in digital financial transactions. As a result, the convergence of empirical validation, theoretical insights, and legal provisions underscores the imperative of harmoniously integrating technologies, theoretical foundations, and regulatory measures. This integration not only fosters consumer confidence but also promotes responsible technological innovation, ensuring a robust and secure digital finance landscape.

The confirmation of third hypothesis underscores the significant impact of consumer awareness on consumer protection within digital financial services. This empirical validation reinforces the theoretical argument that well-informed and educated consumers play a pivotal role in enhancing the effectiveness of mechanisms designed to protect consumer rights and ensure safety. These results carry substantial theoretical implications, advancing our understanding of the complex relationship between consumer awareness

initiatives and the promotion of consumer rights and safety. Additionally, these results bear significant managerial implications, highlighting the necessity for collaborative efforts among regulatory authorities, financial institutions, and industry stakeholders to strengthen consumer awareness programs. These initiatives should be tailored to address emerging digital risks, concerns related to data privacy, and evolving consumer preferences. Notably, these results align with the principles articulated in the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 8 of 1999 concerning Consumer Protection, which emphasizes the importance of empowering consumers with knowledge to bolster their rights and interests in digital finance engagements. Consequently, the convergence of empirical validation, theoretical foundations, and legal provisions underscores the importance of aligning consumer awareness initiatives, theoretical underpinnings, and regulatory measures. This alignment not only promotes consumer confidence but also encourages responsible industry practices, ultimately contributing to the resilience and security of the digital finance landscape.

The validation of the fourth hypothesis underscores the substantial impact of data privacy on consumer protection within the digital financial services realm. This empirical validation reinforces the theoretical assertion that safeguarding individuals' personal information plays a pivotal role in bolstering the effectiveness of mechanisms aimed at protecting consumer rights and ensuring security. These results hold significant theoretical implications, enriching our understanding of the complex interplay between robust data privacy measures and the fortification of consumer rights and safety. Furthermore, these results carry pertinent managerial implications, emphasizing the imperative of collaborative efforts among data controllers, regulatory authorities, and industry stakeholders to ensure that data privacy measures align with evolving digital risks, privacy concerns, and consumer expectations. Notably, this discovery aligns with the principles articulated in the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 8 of 1999 concerning Consumer Protection, which underscores the importance of guaranteeing consumer data privacy to reinforce their rights and interests in digital financial transactions. Consequently, the convergence of empirical validation, theoretical foundations, and legal provisions underscores the necessity for harmonizing data privacy initiatives, theoretical insights, and regulatory measures. This alignment not only fosters consumer confidence but also promotes responsible data handling practices, ultimately cultivating a secure and resilient digital finance landscape.

Conclusion

The validations of all hypotheses highlight the complex relationship between the regulatory landscape, technology integration, consumer awareness, data privacy, and consumer protection within digital financial services. The empirical validation of these hypotheses reinforces the underlying theoretical premise that underscores the significance of each of these factors in safeguarding consumer rights, interests, and well-being within the dynamic digital financial landscape. These results carry substantial theoretical implications, enriching our comprehension of the multifaceted dynamics between these factors and their collective contribution to effective consumer protection. Moreover, the managerial implications are noteworthy, emphasizing the collaborative efforts required by regulatory agencies, technology developers, industry stakeholders, and policymakers to

establish an environment where regulatory policies, technological advancements, informed consumers, and data privacy measures can harmoniously coexist. This conclusion aligns with the principles outlined in the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 8 of 1999 concerning Consumer Protection, which underscores the importance of a comprehensive regulatory framework in safeguarding consumers in digital financial interactions. In essence, the alignment of empirical evidence, theoretical insights, and legal provisions underscores the importance of a holistic approach to ensure consumer confidence, promote responsible industry practices, and cultivate a secure digital financial ecosystem. As the digital landscape continues to evolve, these results provide the foundation for the establishment of policies, procedures, and regulations that prioritize a consumer-centric approach and uphold the principles of consumer protection.

In terms of theoretical implications, the research results contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex interplay between key factors - including regulation, technology integration, consumer awareness, and data privacy - and their impact on consumer protection within the domain of digital financial services. This empirical validation reinforces the notion that improved regulatory landscape, thoughtful technology integration, improved consumer awareness, and robust data privacy measures positively contribute to enhancing consumer protection. From a practical perspective, these research results hold significant relevance for policymakers, regulatory bodies, industry stakeholders, and digital financial service providers. This underscores the importance of continually updating regulations to address the evolving dynamics of digital financial services. Furthermore, they emphasize the importance of prioritizing the development of secure technology systems and implementing effective consumer awareness programs and sustainable data privacy policies to ensure optimal levels of consumer protection.

Nonetheless, there are potential limitations and considerations to keep in mind. First, the research was conducted in Palangkaraya, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia, suggesting that the results may have limited applicability to other countries with distinct regulatory frameworks and industry characteristics. Second, it is important to note that this study may not provide a comprehensive examination of all factors influencing consumer protection. Factors like consumer education and cultural influences, for instance, might not be fully explored. Therefore, future research should aim to expand its geographical scope to encompass various countries and consider a broader spectrum of elements that impact consumer protection in the digital financial services era. Third, there is room for further investigation to delve deeper into various aspects. This includes a more thorough analysis of how industry competition dynamics, evolving business models, and potential repercussions of regulatory changes can affect consumer protection within the digital financial services sector.

Author's Declaration

The author made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the study. The author took responsibility for data analysis, interpretation and discussion of results. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

ORCID

Novea Elysa Wardhani  <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5880-4447>

References

- Agarwal, S., & Zhang, J. (2020). FinTech, Lending and Payment Innovation: A Review. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Financial Studies*, 49(3), 353–367. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ajfs.12294>
- Alaassar, A., Mention, A.-L., & Aas, T. H. (2022). Ecosystem dynamics: exploring the interplay within fintech entrepreneurial ecosystems. *Small Business Economics*, 58(4), 2157–2182. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-021-00505-5>
- Albayati, H., Kim, S. K., & Rho, J. J. (2020). Accepting financial transactions using blockchain technology and cryptocurrency: A customer perspective approach. *Technology in Society*, 62, 101320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101320>
- Anagnostopoulos, I. (2018). Fintech and regtech: Impact on regulators and banks. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 100, 7–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconbus.2018.07.003>
- Andraško, J., Mesarčík, M., & Hamuľák, O. (2021). The regulatory intersections between artificial intelligence, data protection and cyber security: challenges and opportunities for the EU legal framework. *AI & SOCIETY*, 36(2), 623–636. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-01125-5>
- Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2016). FinTech, RegTech, and the reconceptualization of financial regulation. *Nw. J. Int'l L. & Bus.*, 37, 371.
- Aw, E. C.-X., & Agnihotri, R. (2023). Influencer marketing research: review and future research agenda. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2023.2235883>
- Basole, R. C., & Nowak, M. (2018). Assimilation of tracking technology in the supply chain. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 114, 350–370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2016.08.003>
- Benöhr, I. (2020). The United Nations Guidelines for Consumer Protection: Legal Implications and New Frontiers. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 43(1), 105–124. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10603-019-09443-y>
- Bolton, R. N., McColl-Kennedy, J. R., Cheung, L., Gallan, A., Orsingher, C., Witell, L., & Zaki, M. (2018). Customer experience challenges: bringing together digital, physical and social realms. *Journal of Service Management*, 29(5), 776–808. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-04-2018-0113>
- Bongomin, G. O. C., & Ntayi, J. M. (2020). Mobile money adoption and usage and financial inclusion: mediating effect of digital consumer protection. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance*, 22(3), 157–176. <https://doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-01-2019-0005>
- Breidbach, C. F., Keating, B. W., & Lim, C. (2020). Fintech: research directions to explore the digital transformation of financial service systems. *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*, 30(1), 79–102. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTP-08-2018-0185>
- Brenner, B. (2018). Transformative sustainable business models in the light of the digital imperative—A global business economics perspective. *Sustainability*, 10(12), 442.
- Buerke, A., Straatmann, T., Lin-Hi, N., & Müller, K. (2017). Consumer awareness and sustainability-focused value orientation as motivating factors of responsible consumer behavior. *Review of Managerial Science*, 11(4), 959–991.
-

-
- <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-016-0211-2>
- Bygrave, L. A. (2017). Data Protection by Design and by Default : Deciphering the EU's Legislative Requirements. *Oslo Law Review*, 4(2), 105–120. <https://doi.org/10.18261/issn.2387-3299-2017-02-03>
- Cate, F. H. (2016). The failure of fair information practice principles. In *Consumer Protection in the Age of the 'Information Economy'* (pp. 341–377). Routledge.
- Chang, V., Baudier, P., Zhang, H., Xu, Q., Zhang, J., & Arami, M. (2020). How Blockchain can impact financial services – The overview, challenges and recommendations from expert interviewees. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 158, 120166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120166>
- Chen, Y., Kumara, E. K., & Sivakumar, V. (2021). Investigation of finance industry on risk awareness model and digital economic growth. *Annals of Operations Research*, 1–22.
- Chin, W. W. (2010). How to write up and report PLS analyses. In *Handbook of Partial Least Squares* (pp. 188–194). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-32827-8_29
- Chiu, I. H.-Y. (2017). A new era in fintech payment innovations? A perspective from the institutions and regulation of payment systems. *Law, Innovation and Technology*, 9(2), 190–234. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17579961.2017.1377912>
- de Freitas Netto, S. V., Sobral, M. F. F., Ribeiro, A. R. B., & Soares, G. R. da L. (2020). Concepts and forms of greenwashing: a systematic review. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 32(1), 19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-020-0300-3>
- De Hert, P., Papakonstantinou, V., Malgieri, G., Beslay, L., & Sanchez, I. (2018). The right to data portability in the GDPR: Towards user-centric interoperability of digital services. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 34(2), 193–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2017.10.003>
- Demangeot, C., Kipnis, E., Pullig, C., Cross, S. N. N., Emontspool, J., Galalae, C., Grier, S. A., Rosenbaum, M. S., & Best, S. F. (2019). Constructing a bridge to multicultural marketplace well-being: A consumer-centered framework for marketer action. *Journal of Business Research*, 100, 339–353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.12.026>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378101800104>
- Galati, A., Moavero, P., & Crescimanno, M. (2019). Consumer awareness and acceptance of irradiated foods: the case of Italian consumers. *British Food Journal*, 121(6), 1398–1412. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-05-2018-0336>
- Gomber, P., Koch, J.-A., & Siering, M. (2017). Digital Finance and FinTech: current research and future research directions. *Journal of Business Economics*, 87(5), 537–580. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11573-017-0852-x>
- Good, N., Ellis, K. A., & Mancarella, P. (2017). Review and classification of barriers and enablers of demand response in the smart grid. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 72, 57–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.043>
- Graef, I., Clifford, D., & Valcke, P. (2018). Fairness and enforcement: bridging competition, data protection, and consumer law. *International Data Privacy Law*, 8(3), 200–223. <https://doi.org/10.1093/idpl/ipy013>
- Graef, I., & Van Berlo, S. (2021). Towards Smarter Regulation in the Areas of Competition, Data Protection and Consumer Law: Why Greater Power Should Come with Greater Responsibility. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, 12(3), 674–698.
-

- <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1017/err.2020.92>
- Graham, M. (2023). Data for sale: trust, confidence and sharing health data with commercial companies. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 49(7), 515–522. <https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2021-107464>
- Grochowski, M., Jablonowska, A., Lagioia, F., & Sartor, G. (2021). Algorithmic transparency and explainability for EU consumer protection: unwrapping the regulatory premises. *Critical Analysis L.*, 8, 43. <https://doi.org/10.33137/cal.v8i1.36279>
- Gunningham, N., & Sinclair, D. (2019). Regulatory pluralism: Designing policy mixes for environmental protection. In *Environmental Law* (pp. 463–490). Routledge.
- Gupta, B. B., & Quamara, M. (2020). An overview of Internet of Things (IoT): Architectural aspects, challenges, and protocols. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, 32(21), e4946.
- Hampton, H., Foley, A., Del Rio, D. F., Smyth, B., Laverty, D., & Caulfield, B. (2022). Customer engagement strategies in retail electricity markets: A comprehensive and comparative review. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 90, 102611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102611>
- Haque, A. K. M. B., Bhushan, B., & Dhiman, G. (2022). Conceptualizing smart city applications: Requirements, architecture, security issues, and emerging trends. *Expert Systems*, 39(5), e12753. <https://doi.org/10.1111/exsy.12753>
- Howell, N., & Wilson, T. (2016). The limits of competition: Reasserting a role for consumer protection and fair trading regulation in competitive markets. In *The Yearbook of Consumer Law 2009* (pp. 147–173). Routledge.
- Jain, P., Gyanchandani, M., & Khare, N. (2016). Big data privacy: a technological perspective and review. *Journal of Big Data*, 3(1), 25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-016-0059-y>
- Martin, K. D., Kim, J. J., Palmatier, R. W., Steinhoff, L., Stewart, D. W., Walker, B. A., Wang, Y., & Weaven, S. K. (2020). Data Privacy in Retail. *Journal of Retailing*, 96(4), 474–489. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2020.08.003>
- Minton, E. A., Tan, S. J., Tambyah, S. K., & Liu, R. L. (2022). Drivers of Sustainability and Consumer Well-Being: An Ethically-Based Examination of Religious and Cultural Values. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 175(1), 167–190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04674-3>
- Mirza, N., Elhoseny, M., Umar, M., & Metawa, N. (2023). Safeguarding FinTech innovations with machine learning: Comparative assessment of various approaches. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 66, 102009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2023.102009>
- Nanda, A. P., & Banerjee, R. (2021). Consumer's subjective financial well-being: A systematic review and research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 45(4), 750–776. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12668>
- Ogilvie, J., Agnihotri, R., Rapp, A., & Trainor, K. (2018). Social media technology use and salesperson performance: A two study examination of the role of salesperson behaviors, characteristics, and training. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 75, 55–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2018.03.007>
- Ozili, P. K. (2018). Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 18(4), 329–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2017.12.003>
- Schneider, S., & Kokshagina, O. (2021). Digital transformation: What we have learned

- (thus far) and what is next. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 30(2), 384–411. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/caim.12414>
- Senyo, P. K., Karanasios, S., Gozman, D., & Baba, M. (2022). FinTech ecosystem practices shaping financial inclusion: the case of mobile money in Ghana. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 31(1), 112–127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2021.1978342>
- Senyo, P. K., & Osabutey, E. L. C. (2020). Unearthing antecedents to financial inclusion through FinTech innovations. *Technovation*, 98, 102155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2020.102155>
- Serrano, W. (2018). Digital Systems in Smart City and Infrastructure: Digital as a Service. In *Smart Cities*, 1(1), 134–154. <https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities1010008>
- Shahid, S., Islam, J. U., Malik, S., & Hasan, U. (2022). Examining consumer experience in using m-banking apps: A study of its antecedents and outcomes. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 65, 102870. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102870>
- Stubbs, W., & Higgins, C. (2018). Stakeholders' perspectives on the role of regulatory reform in integrated reporting. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 147(3), 489–508. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2954-0>
- Thapa, C., & Camtepe, S. (2021). Precision health data: Requirements, challenges and existing techniques for data security and privacy. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 129, 104130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.combiomed.2020.104130>
- Vivoda, V., Kemp, D., & Owen, J. (2019). Regulating the social aspects of mine closure in three Australian states. *Journal of Energy & Natural Resources Law*, 37(4), 405–424. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02646811.2019.1608030>
- Vredenburg, J., Kapitan, S., Spry, A., & Kemper, J. A. (2020). Brands Taking a Stand: Authentic Brand Activism or Woke Washing? *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, 39(4), 444–460. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0743915620947359>
- Zequiraj, V., Sohag, K., & Soytaş, U. (2020). Stock market development and low-carbon economy: The role of innovation and renewable energy. *Energy Economics*, 91, 104908. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2020.104908>